

NAVAJO NATION DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

DOREEN N. MCPAUL Attorney General KIMBERLY A. DUTCHER Deputy Attorney General

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE INITIAL ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION FOR NAVAJO NATION FISCAL RECOVERY FUNDS

| RFS/HK Review #: <u>HK0216</u> | _ |
|--|--|
| Date & Time Received: 11/15/22 16: | :20 |
| Date & Time of Response: 11/29/2022 5: | 00 PM |
| Entity Requesting FRF:Jeddito Chapter | |
| Title of Project:Bathroom Additions | |
| Administrative Oversight: _Division of Com | munity Development |
| Amount of Funding Requested: \$400,000 | |
| Eligibility Determination: | |
| ⊠ FRF eligible | |
| ☐ FRF ineligible | |
| ☐ Additional information requested | |
| FRF Eligibility Category: | |
| ⊠ (1) Public Health and Economic Impact | ☐ (2) Premium Pay |
| ☐ (3) Government Services/Lost Revenue | ☐ (4) Water, Sewer, Broadband Infrastructure |
| | |
| | |

| U.S. Department of Treasu Services) | ry Reporting Expenditu | re Category: 1:14 (Other Public Health |
|---|----------------------------|--|
| Returned for the following procedures: | reasons (Ineligibility Rea | asons / Paragraphs 5. E. (1) - (10) of FRF |
| ☐ Missing Form | | ☐ Expenditure Plan incomplete |
| ☐ Supporting documentation | missing | ☐ Funds will not be obligated by |
| ☐ Project will not be complete | ed by 12/31/2026 | 12/31/2024 |
| ☐ Ineligible purpose | | ☐ Incorrect Signatory |
| ☐ Submitter failed to timely s | ubmit CARES reports | ☐ Inconsistent with applicable NN or |
| ☐ Additional information sub insufficient to make a prope | | federal laws |
| Name of DOJ Reviewer: — | Kristen A. Lowell | |
| Signature of DOJ Reviewer: | Karowell | |

Disclaimers: An NNDOJ Initial Eligibility Determination will be based on the documents provided, which NNDOJ will assume are true, correct, and complete. Should the Project or Program change in any material way after the initial determination, the requestor must seek the advice of NNDOJ. An initial determination is limited to review of the Project or Program as it relates to whether the Project or Program is a legally allowable use – it does not serve as an opinion as to whether or not the Project or Program should be funded, nor does it serve as an opinion as to whether or not the amount requested is reasonable or accurate.